

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/560,574	<b>Applicant(s)</b> UMENO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SUDHANSHU C. PATHAK	<b>Art Unit</b> 2611	

**All Participants:**

(1) SUDHANSHU C. PATHAK.

(2) Robert T. Clarke.

**Date of Interview:** 20 November 2009

**Status of Application:** allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none*

Claims discussed:  
*1, 3, 7, 9, 13, 15, 18 & 20*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*Discussed incorporating dependent claims 3, 9, 15, 20 into the respective independent claims.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sudhanshu C Pathak/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)